

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	09/207,649		LINDQUIST, SUSAN	
	Examiner		Art Unit	
	Olga N. Chernyshev		1649	

All Participants:

(1) Olga N. Chernyshev.

(2) Jack Brannan.

Date of Interview: _____

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Status of Application: pending

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

112, 1, lack of written description

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.


SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


OLGA N. CHERNYSHEV, PH.D.
PRIMARY EXAMINER

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner informed applicant's representative that during the patentability conference (the Examiner of record and SPE J. Stucker), the decision to maintain the rejection under 112, first paragraph, was made. The Examiner further specifically identified the allowable subject matter (the claims limited to PrP and Abeta chimeric proteins expressed in yeast) in attempt to advance the prosecution of the instant case and discuss possibility of allowance .